



PRODUCT SECURITY INITIATIVE

QUALCOMM



KISS: A Bit Too Simple

Greg Rose

ggr@qualcomm.com

Outline

- ❑ KISS – random number generator
- ❑ Subgenerators
- ❑ Efficient attack
- ❑ New KISS and attack
- ❑ Conclusion

One approach to PRNG security

"A random number generator is like sex:

When it's good, its wonderful;

And when it's bad, it's still pretty good."

Add to that, in line with my recommendations
on combination generators;

"And if it's bad, try a twosome or threesome."


-- George Marsaglia, quoting himself (1999)

KISS – a Pseudo-Random Number Generator

- ❑ “Keep it Simple Stupid”
- ❑ Marsaglia and Zaman, Florida State U, 1993
- ❑ Marsaglia posts C version to `sci.crypt`, 1998/99, took off
- ❑ Never said it was secure!
 - Good thing, too...
 - But others seem to think it is.

```
#define znew (z=36969*(z&65535)+(z>>16))
#define wnew (w=18000*(w&65535)+(w>>16))
#define MWC ((znew<<16)+wnew )
#define SHR3 (jsr^=(jsr<<17), jsr^=(jsr>>13),
             jsr^=(jsr<<5))
#define CONG (jcong=69069*jcong+1234567)
#define KISS ((MWC^CONG)+SHR3)
```

KISS diagram



Multiply With Carry subgenerator

- ❑ *znew* and *wnew*
- ❑ 16 bits “random looking”, 32 bits of state
- ❑ Multiply by constant (18000, 36969 resp), add carry from previous multiplication
- ❑ Periods about $2^{29.1}$ and $2^{30.2}$ – two long cycles each
- ❑ Two bad values (0 and something else) repeat forever
- ❑ Large states go into smaller ones after one update
- ❑ *znew* only affects high order bits.

Linear Congruential subgenerator

- ❑ Well studied, period 2^{32} , single long cycle
- ❑ Low order bits form smaller linear congruential generators
- ❑ In particular, LSB goes “01010101010...”

3-Shift Register subgenerator

- ❑ Linear, but not like LFSR
- ❑ Authors assume long period, but wrong
- ❑ LSBs of output form one of 64 LFSRs
- ❑ Periods range from 1 to $2^{28.2}$ (*not* $2^{32}-1!$)

- ❑ Can recover initial state from 32 consecutive LSBs easily
 - Binary matrix multiplication

Attack idea

❑ Divide and Conquer


- Registers are updated independently of each other, then combined
- So try to get rid of effects of one or more registers
- One of them is already partly gone!

❑ Exploit weaknesses (eg. Linearity of SHR3, low order bits of CONG)


❑ Guess and Determine

- Guess (that is, try all possibilities) for some values, then
- Derive other values
- Verify whether still consistent


What do we know at the start?




Guess *wnew*




Guess LSB of CONG (01010... or 10101...)




Determine LSB sequence from SHR_3




Verify LSB sequence from SHR_3 is LFSR




Determine half of *CONG*




Guess top half of *CONG*




Determine low half of *znew*



Determine high half of *znew* from low half



And verify...




How much work?

- ❑ Dominated by trying, on average, 589,823,999 values for *wnew*
- ❑ And for each one, using Berlekamp-Massey algorithm to check whether the candidate for *SHR3* is LFSR
 - Alternatively, can check parity equations.
- ❑ Few hours on laptop.

Newer KISS

- ❑ Sci.crypt 2011 posting by Marsaglia
- ❑ Looking for longer and longer cycles
- ❑ Period $> 10^{40,000,000}$
- ❑ State is ridiculously large ($2^{22}+3$ 32-bit words)
- ❑ Again combines multiple components “for security”



New KISS

```
static unsigned long Q[4194304], carry=0;
unsigned long b32MWC(void)
{unsigned long t,x; static int j=4194303;
j=(j+1)&4194303;
x=Q[j]; t=(x<<28)+carry;
carry=(x>>4) - (t<x);
return (Q[j]=t-x);
}
#define CNG ( cng=69069*cng+13579 )
#define XS ( xs^=(xs<<13), xs^=(xs>>17), xs^=(xs<<5) )
#define KISS ( b32MWC()+CNG+XS )
```

Complemented Multiply With Carry

- ❑ Large circular buffer with carry variable
- ❑ Extremely long period
- ❑ State values are used directly for output
- ❑ Can be run backward

- ❑ After one rotation through buffer, can check consistency easily (used in attack)
- ❑ By itself has no cryptographic strength at all
 - output is state

Attack on New KISS

- ❑ Simple divide and conquer
- ❑ Guess state of CONG and SHR₃
- ❑ Run generator forward slightly more than a full rotation of b32MWC's buffer
- ❑ If 3 outputs are mutually consistent, must have guessed correctly
- ❑ Run backward to recover full initial state
- ❑ Equivalent to 2^{63} key setup operations
 - But the key is huge, so is the key setup operation

Conclusion

- ❑ M & Z overestimated the period by about a factor of 10
- ❑ KISS is not secure
- ❑ Need about 70 words of generated output
- ❑ Can apply attack to unknown (but biased) plaintext
 - Replace B-M step with fast correlation attack
 - Still surprisingly efficient

- ❑ **Don't use KISS if you need security!**